

OFFICIAL GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT
WAKULLA COUNTY, FLORIDA
NOVEMBER 6, 2012

TO VOTE, COMPLETELY FILL IN THE OVAL ● NEXT TO YOUR CHOICE.

- Use only a #2 pencil, the marker provided, or a blue or black pen.
- If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.
- To vote for a candidate whose name is not printed on the ballot, fill in the oval, and write in the candidate's name on the blank line provided for a write-in candidate.

PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|--|-----|
| <input type="radio"/> Mitt Romney | REP |
| <input type="radio"/> Paul Ryan | DEM |
| <input type="radio"/> Barack Obama | OBJ |
| <input type="radio"/> Joe Biden | LBT |
| <input type="radio"/> Thomas Robert Stevens | CPF |
| <input type="radio"/> Alden Link | GRE |
| <input type="radio"/> Gary Johnson | REF |
| <input type="radio"/> James P. Gray | SOC |
| <input type="radio"/> Virgil H. Goode, Jr. | PSL |
| <input type="radio"/> James N. Clymer | PFP |
| <input type="radio"/> Jill Stein | AIP |
| <input type="radio"/> Cheri Honkala | JPF |
| <input type="radio"/> Andre Barnett | |
| <input type="radio"/> Kenneth Cross | |
| <input type="radio"/> Stewart Alexander | |
| <input type="radio"/> Alex Mendoza | |
| <input type="radio"/> Peta Lindsay | |
| <input type="radio"/> Yari Osorio | |
| <input type="radio"/> Roseanne Barr | |
| <input type="radio"/> Cindy Sheehan | |
| <input type="radio"/> Tom Hoefling | |
| <input type="radio"/> Jonathan D. Ellis | |
| <input type="radio"/> Ross C. "Rocky" Anderson | |
| <input type="radio"/> Luis J. Rodriguez | |
| <input type="radio"/> Write-in | |

US SENATOR DISTRICT 3

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|------------------------------------|-----|
| <input type="radio"/> Connie Mack | REP |
| <input type="radio"/> Bill Nelson | DEM |
| <input type="radio"/> Bill Gaylor | NPA |
| <input type="radio"/> Chris Borgia | NPA |
| <input type="radio"/> Write-in | |

REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS

DISTRICT 2

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---|-----|
| <input type="radio"/> Steve Southerland | REP |
| <input type="radio"/> Al Lawson | DEM |
| <input type="radio"/> Write-in | |

STATE ATTORNEY

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---|-----|
| <input type="radio"/> Pete Williams | REP |
| <input type="radio"/> William N. (Willie) Meggs | DEM |

STATE SENATOR

District 3

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----|
| <input type="radio"/> John Shaw | REP |
| <input type="radio"/> Bill Montford | DEM |

STATE REPRESENTATIVE

DISTRICT 7

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----|
| <input type="radio"/> Halsey Beshears | REP |
| <input type="radio"/> Robert Hill | DEM |

SHERIFF

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---|-----|
| <input type="radio"/> T.W. Maurice Langston | REP |
| <input type="radio"/> Charlie Creel | NPA |

PROPERTY APPRAISER

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|--|-----|
| <input type="radio"/> Donnie R. Sparkman | DEM |
| <input type="radio"/> Jim Parham | NPA |

SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---|-----|
| <input type="radio"/> Robert "Bobby" Pearce | DEM |
| <input type="radio"/> Kimball "Kim" Thomas | NPA |

COUNTY COMMISSIONER

DISTRICT 1

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|---|-----|
| <input type="radio"/> Ralph C. Thomas Jr. | REP |
| <input type="radio"/> Alan Brock | DEM |
| <input type="radio"/> Jenny Brock | NPA |

COUNTY COMMISSIONER

DISTRICT 3

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----|
| <input type="radio"/> Mike Stewart | REP |
| <input type="radio"/> Howard Kessler | NPA |

COUNTY COMMISSIONER

DISTRICT 5

(Vote for One)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----|
| <input type="radio"/> Richard Harden | REP |
| <input type="radio"/> John Shuff | DEM |
| <input type="radio"/> Emily Smith | NPA |

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT

Shall Justice R. Fred Lewis of the Supreme Court be retained in office?

- | |
|---------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> YES |
| <input type="radio"/> NO |

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT

Shall Justice Barbara J. Pariente of the Supreme Court be retained in office?

- | |
|---------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> YES |
| <input type="radio"/> NO |

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT

Shall Justice Peggy A. Quince of the Supreme Court be retained in office?

- | |
|---------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> YES |
| <input type="radio"/> NO |

FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

Shall Judge Simone Marsteller of the First District Court of Appeal be retained in office?

- | |
|---------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> YES |
| <input type="radio"/> NO |

FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

Shall Judge Stephanie Ray of the First District Court of Appeal be retained in office?

- | |
|---------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> YES |
| <input type="radio"/> NO |

FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

Shall Judge Ron Swanson of the First District Court of Appeal be retained in office?

- | |
|---------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> YES |
| <input type="radio"/> NO |

FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL

Shall Judge Brad Thomas of the First District Court of Appeal be retained in office?

- | |
|---------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> YES |
| <input type="radio"/> NO |

CIRCUIT JUDGE

2ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT GROUP 2

(Vote for One)

- | |
|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Barbara Hobbs |
| <input type="radio"/> Josefina M. Tamayo |

NO. 1
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE I, SECTION 28

Health Care Services

Proposing an amendment to the State Constitution to prohibit laws or rules from compelling any person or employer to purchase, obtain, or otherwise provide for health care coverage; permit a person or an employer to purchase lawful health care services directly from a health care provider; permit a health care provider to accept direct payment from a person or an employer for lawful health care services; exempt persons, employers, and health care providers from penalties and taxes for paying directly or accepting direct payment for lawful health care services; and prohibit laws or rules from abolishing the private market for health care coverage of any lawful health care service. Specifies that the amendment does not affect which health care services a health care provider is required to perform or provide; affect which health care services are permitted by law; prohibit care provided pursuant to general law relating to workers' compensation; affect laws or rules in effect as of March 1, 2010; affect the terms or conditions of any health care system to the extent that those terms and conditions do not have the effect of punishing a person or an employer for paying directly for lawful health care services or a health care provider for accepting direct payment from a person or an employer for lawful health care services; or affect any general law passed by two-thirds vote of the membership of each house of the Legislature, passed after the effective date of the amendment, provided such law states with specificity the public necessity justifying the exceptions from the provisions of the amendment. The amendment expressly provides that it may not be construed to prohibit negotiated provisions in insurance contracts, network agreements, or other provider agreements contractually limiting copayments, coinsurance, deductibles, or other patient charges.

YES
 NO

NO. 2
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE VII, SECTION 6 & ARTICLE XII, SECTION 32

Veterans Disabled Due to Combat Injury; Homestead Property Tax Discount

Proposing an amendment to Section 6 of Article VII and the creation of Section 32 of Article XII of the State Constitution to expand the availability of the property discount on the homesteads of veterans who became disabled as the result of a combat injury to include those who were not Florida residents when they entered the military and schedule the amendment to take effect January 1, 2013.

YES
 NO

NO. 3
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE VII, SECTIONS 1, 19 & ARTICLE XII, SECTION 32

State Government Revenue Limitation

This proposed amendment to the State Constitution replaces the existing state revenue limitation based on Florida personal income growth with a new state revenue limitation based on inflation and population changes. Under the amendment, state revenues, as defined in the amendment, collected in excess of the revenue limitation must be deposited into the budget stabilization fund until the fund reaches its maximum balance, and thereafter shall be used for the support and maintenance of public schools by reducing the minimum financial effort required from school districts for participation in a state-funded education finance program, or, if the minimum financial effort is no longer required, returned to the taxpayers. The Legislature may increase the state revenue limitation through a bill approved by a super majority vote of each house of the Legislature. The Legislature may also submit a proposed increase in the state revenue limitation to the voters. The Legislature must implement this proposed amendment by general law. The amendment will take effect upon approval by the electors and will first apply to the 2014-2015 state fiscal year.

YES
 NO

NO. 4
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE VII, SECTIONS 4, 6 & ARTICLE XII, SECTIONS 27, 32, 33

Property Tax Limitations; Property Value Decline; Reduction for Nonhomestead Assessment Increases; Delay of Scheduled Repeal

(1) This would amend Florida Constitution Article VII, Section 4 (Taxation; assessments) and Section 6 (Homestead exemptions). It also would amend Article XII, Section 27, and add Sections 32 and 33, relating to the Schedule for the amendments.

(2) In certain circumstances, the law requires the assessed value of homestead and specified nonhomestead property to increase when the just value of the property decreases. Therefore, this amendment provides that the Legislature may, by general law, provide that the assessment of homestead and specified nonhomestead property may not increase if the just value of that property is less than the just value of the property on the preceding January 1, subject to any adjustment in the assessed value due to changes, additions, reductions, or improvements to such property which are assessed as provided for by general law. This amendment takes effect upon approval by the voters. If approved at a special election held on the date of the 2012 presidential preference primary, it shall operate retroactively to January 1, 2012, or, if approved at the 2012 general election, shall take effect January 1, 2013.

(3) This amendment reduces from 10 percent to 5 percent the limitation on annual changes in assessments of nonhomestead real property. This amendment takes effect upon approval of the voters. If approved at a special election held on the date of the 2012 presidential preference primary, it shall operate retroactively to January 1, 2012, or, if approved at the 2012 general election, takes effect January 1, 2013.

(4) This amendment also authorizes general law to provide, subject to conditions specified in such law, an additional homestead exemption to every person who establishes the right to receive the homestead exemption provided in the Florida Constitution within 1 year after purchasing the homestead property and who has not owned property in the previous 3 calendar years to which the Florida homestead exemption applied. The additional homestead exemption shall apply to all levies except school district levies. The additional exemption is an amount equal to 50 percent of the homestead property's just value on January 1 of the year the homestead is established. The additional homestead exemption may not exceed an amount equal to the median just value of all homestead property within the county where the property at issue is located for the calendar year immediately preceding January 1 of the year the homestead is established. The additional exemption shall apply for the shorter of 5 years or the year of sale of the property. The amount of the additional exemption shall be reduced in each subsequent year by an amount equal to 20 percent of the amount of the additional exemption received in the year the homestead was established or by an amount equal to the difference between the just value of the property and the assessed value of the property determined under Article VII, Section 4(d), whichever is greater. Not more than one such exemption shall be allowed per homestead property at one time. The additional exemption applies to property purchased on or after January 1, 2011, if approved by the voters at a special election held on the date of the 2012 presidential preference primary, or to property purchased on or after January 1, 2012, if approved by the voters at the 2012 general election. The additional exemption is not available in the sixth and subsequent years after it is first received. The amendment shall take effect upon approval by the voters. If approved at a special election held on the date of the 2012 presidential preference primary, it shall operate retroactively to January 1, 2012, or, if approved at the 2012 general election, takes effect January 1, 2013.

(5) This amendment also delays until 2023, the repeal, currently scheduled to take effect in 2019, of constitutional amendments adopted in 2008 which limit annual assessment increases for specified nonhomestead real property. This amendment delays until 2022 the submission of an amendment proposing the abrogation of such repeal to the voters.

YES
 NO

VOTE BOTH SIDES OF BALLOT